

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 1997-11, the Michigan Supreme Court will hold a public administrative hearing on Wednesday, May 11, 2011, in the Supreme Court courtroom located on the sixth floor of the Michigan Hall of Justice, 925 W. Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan 48915. The hearing will begin promptly at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn no later than 11:30 a.m. Persons who wish to address the Court regarding matters on the agenda will be allotted three minutes each to present their views, after which the speakers may be questioned by the Justices. To reserve a place on the agenda, please notify the Office of the Clerk of the Court in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, Michigan 48909, or by e-mail at MSC_clerk@courts.mi.gov, no later than Monday, May 9, 2011.

Administrative matters on the agenda for this hearing are:

1. 2008-18 Proposed Amendment of Rule 3.501 of the Michigan Court Rules.
Published at 488 Mich 1415-1418 (2010).
Issue: *Whether to adopt one of the two proposed alternative amendments of MCR 3.501 regarding motions in class actions that would expand the ability for a party to file a supplemental motion for certification of a class, or would clarify that only one motion for certification may be brought.*
2. 2008-28 Proposed Amendment of Rule 6.005 of the Michigan Court Rules.
Published at 488 Mich 1418-1419 (2010).
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 6.005, which would clarify that appointed defense counsel in a criminal proceeding either must file a substantive response to a prosecutor's application for interlocutory appeal or notify the Court of Appeals that the lawyer intends not to submit a pleading.*
3. 2009-20 Proposed Amendment of Rule 3 of the Rules Concerning the State Bar of Michigan and Rule 8 of the Rules for the Board of

Law Examiners.

Published at 488 Mich 1419-1421 (2010).

Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendments of SBR 3(E) and SBR 3(F), which would clarify that either an out-of-state attorney who voluntarily resigned from the bar or an emeritus member of the State Bar of Michigan would not be required to retake the bar examination if the person seeking readmission meets the criteria for admission under BLE Rule 5. Rule 8 of the Rules for the Board of Law Examiners likewise would be amended to reflect that resigned or emeritus members would be covered under BLE Rule 8 that allows for recertification.*

4. 2009-29 Proposed Amendment of Rule 5.208 of the Michigan Court Rules.
Published at 488 Mich 1421-1422 (2010).
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 5.208 that would remove the requirement to list a decedent's last known address on the Notice to Creditors form.*
5. 2010-05 Proposed Amendments of Rules 2.112, 7.206, and 7.213 of the Michigan Court Rules.
Published at 488 Mich 1412-1415 (2010).
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendments of MCR 2.112 and MCR 7.206, which would revise the filing requirements and procedures related to Headlee actions as recommended by the Legislative Commission on Statutory Mandates, and whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 7.213 (a corollary of MCR 7.206) that would clarify the prioritization of cases in the Court of Appeals.*

Public Discussion and Decision of Administrative Issue

1. 2010-18 Proposed Amendments of Rule 6.1 of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct.